

Content available at: iponlinejournal.com

Indian Journal of Microbiology Research

Journal homepage: www.innovativepublication.com

Original Research Article

In vitro comparison of efficacy of triclosan coated & uncoated sutures against the bacteria isolated from SSI at tertiary care hospital, Aurangabad

Mulay M V¹, Pohekar J A^{1,*}

 $^{1} Dept.\ of\ Microbiology,\ MGM's\ Medical\ College,\ Aurangabad,\ Maharashtra,\ India$



ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 24-06-2019 Accepted 08-01-2020 Available online 08-04-2020

Keywords: SSI Triclosan Suture materials

ABSTRACT

Most common Health Care Associated Infections (HAI) are Urinary tract infection (UTI) - 33%, Pneumonia - 15%, Surgical Site Infections (SSI) - 15%, Blood stream infections - 13%, & other miscellaneous infections - 24%. SSI is defined as infection at the surgical site that occurs within 30 days of the surgical procedure or within one year - if there is an implant or foreign body such as prosthetic heart valve or joint prosthesis. Wound infections are always multifactorial. Risk factors for SSI include co-morbidity, malnutrition, nicotine, suture and implanted foreign material. SSI increases morbidity & mortality in post surgical patients, & also increases hospital stay, it affects quality of life and increases financial burden to healthcare system. It may lead to major complications such as sepsis and death. Patient related risk factors are smoking, obesity and diabetes. Skin preparation with antiseptic and preoperative antibiotic prophylaxis for clean-contaminated and contaminated surgery have proved efficient for decreasing SSI. Sutures in contaminated tissues may enhance penetration of micro organisms in deeper tissues & biofilm formation and this may protect organisms from host defence mechanism. One of the risk factors is the foreign material which includes suture. Commonly isolated pathogens from SSI are Staphylococcus aureus, CONS, Enterococcus species, E.coli & resistant pathogens like MRSA & candida (due to widespread use of broad spectrum anti-microbial agents.) In this study we have compared in vitro efficacy of triclosan coated polyglactin 910 suture with non - coated sutures against common bacteria isolated from SSI.

Materials and Methods: We have randomly selected the strains of MRSA, MRCONS, Staphylococcus hemolyticus, E. Coli, Klebsiella, & Acinetobacter species isolated from clinical samples of SSI. These isolates were tested against triclosan coated & non coated sutures which are commercially available. Similar length of (4cm) of sutures cut & tested for zone of inhibition on lawn culture made on Muller Hinton Agar (MHA) by using 0.5 McFarland standard of above strains by touching 4 to 5 colonies of each bacterium. It is incubated overnight at 37°C & examined for zone of inhibition.

Results: zone of inhibition of coated & uncoated sutures has been measured & compared for each strain. **Conclusion:** In vitro, triclosan coated sutures showed good antibacterial activity than non coated sutures & hence triclosan coated sutures may help in reducing bacterial SSI rate and thus reduce cost & duration of hospital stay for the patient.

© 2020 Published by Innovative Publication. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

1. Introduction

Most common Health Care Associated Infections (HAI) are Urinary tract infection (UTI) – 33%, Pneumonia – 15%, Surgical Site Infections (SSI) – 15%, Blood stream infections – 13%, & other miscellaneous infections – 24%.

E-mail address: jayshreepohekar@rediffmail.com (Pohekar J A).

SSI is defined as infection at the surgical site that occurs within 30 days of the surgical procedure or within one year – if there is an implant or foreign body such as prosthetic heart valve or joint prosthesis. Wound infections are always multifactorial. Risk factors for SSI include co-morbidity, malnutrition, nicotine, suture and implanted foreign material. SSI increases morbidity & mortality in post surgical patients, & also increases hospital stay,

^{*} Corresponding author.

it affects quality of life and increases financial burden to healthcare system. ^{7–10} it may lead to major complications such as sepsis and death. 11 Patient related risk factors are smoking, obesity and diabetes. 10,12-14 Skin preparation with antiseptic and preoperative antibiotic prophylaxis for clean-contaminated and contaminated surgery have proved efficient for decreasing SSI. 15 SSI, It's diagnosis consist of the infection with manifestations of pain, oedema, tenderness, redness, dehiscence, or positive culture from material or pus from surgical site. SSI are classified into superficial incisional SSI, deep incisional SSI, & organ/space SSI. 16 Triclosan coated sutures reduce the colonization of bacteria ⁷ & biofilm formation on suture material. 17 Sutures in contaminated tissues may enhance penetration of micro organisms in deeper tissues & biofilm formation and this may protect organisms from host defence mechanism. ^{3,18,19} One of the risk factors is the foreign material which includes suture. 16 Commonly isolated pathogens from SSI are Staphylococcus aureus, CONS, Enterococcus species, E.coli & resistant pathogens like MRSA & candida (due to widespread use of broad spectrum anti-microbial agents.) [bailey] Triclosan was developed in 1960 & it is antibacterial as well as antifungal agent used in toothpastes, detergents, hand wash antiseptic solutions, soaps, toys etc. 7,20 It's use in health industry started in 1972 & has been used in hand rubs, skin antiseptics, ointments, impregnated/coated catheters & sutures. 11 Triclosan (2,4,4'-tricloro-2'-hydroxydiphenyl ether) is a broad spectrum biocide, non specifically disrupting the bacterial cell membrane, targeting the Fab I gene which blocks the bacterial fatty acid synthesis through the action of enzyme enoyl-acyl carrier protein reductase (ENR).³ The triclosan is an antiseptic & not an antibiotic hence the risk of resistance is very low.³ Various studies have been conducted & stated that toxicity due to triclosan are considered low & it showed highly significant results in lowering risk of SSI. 11,21 Triclosan having antimicrobial activity against Gram positive & Gram negative bacteria but less activity against P. aeroginosa. 16 In this study we compared in vitro efficacy of triclosan coated polyglactin 910 suture with non - coated suture against common bacteria isolated from SSI.

2. Aims and Objectives

To compare in vitro efficacy of triclosan coated polyglactin 910 sutures with uncoated sutures against organisms isolated from SSI.

3. Materials and Methods

3.1. Inclusion criteria

All samples received for culture & sensitivity from surgical sites within given period.

3.2. Exclusion criteria

All isolates other than surgical site infections.

The study was carried out at Department of Microbiology, MGM Medical College & Hospital Aurangabad, Maharashtra from 2nd June 2017 to 2nd July 2017. In this period there were total 15 samples of SSI, out of these 09 samples were positive for bacterial isolates & 06 samples were sterile.

4. Isolation & Identification of bacteria from SSI

Samples from SSI were inoculated in Nutrient agar, Blood agar & McConkey's agar plates, incubated at 37^oC overnight & isolates were identified in Vitek 2 compact system. We have isolated MRCONS – 2, Acenetobacter baumanii – 2, MRSA – 1, Staphylococcus hemolyticus – 1, Enterobacter cloaceae complex – 1, E.coli – 1, & Klebsiella pnemoniae – 1.

4.1. In – Vitro testing of Triclosan coated & uncoated sutures against the bacteria isolated from SSI

We have randomly taken the strains of MRSA, MRCONS, Staphylococcus hemolyticus, E. Coli, Klebsiella, & Acinetobacter species isolated from clinical samples of SSI & these strains were tested against triclosan coated & non coated sutures which are commercially available (Ethicon). Lawn culture of isolated organisms were made on Muller Hinton Agar (MHA) plates by using 0.5 McFarland standard (corresponds to 1.5 x 10⁸ bacteria/ml)⁷ of above strains by touching 4 to 5 colonies of each bacterium. ¹⁶ Similar length of (4cm) of triclosan coated & non coated sutures cut with aseptic precautions & placed on half of inoculated plates each. ¹ It is incubated overnight at 37^oC & examined for zone of inhibition after 48 hr. ¹⁶ Zone of inhibition were measured perpendicular to mid-point of suture material in millimetre. ⁷

5. Results

5.1. Isolation & Identification of bacteria from SSI

Total 15 samples of SSI were taken, out of these 09 (60%) samples were positive for bacterial isolates & 06 (40%) samples were sterile, in a single month's duration. Isolates were MRCONS – 2(22.2%), Acenetobacter baumanii – 2(22.2%), MRSA – 1(11.1%), Staphylococcus hemolyticus – 1(11.1%), Enterobacter cloaceae complex – 1(11.1%), E.coli – 1(11.1%), & Klebsiella pnemoniae – 1(11.1%).

5.2. In – Vitro testing of triclosan coated & uncoated sutures against the bacteria isolated from SSI

Each bacterium, was tested against triclosan coated & uncoated suture. The zone of inhibition around triclosan coated & uncoated sutures were measured. Wide zone

Table 1: Zone of Inhibition around coated and uncoated suture materials

Organism isolated (%)	Zone of inhibition (Coated sutures)	Zone of inhibition (Uncoated sutures)
MRCONS (22.2%)	14 – 16 mm	0 - 1 mm
Acenetobacter baumannii (22.2%)	11 mm	0 - 1 mm
MRSA (11.1%)	13 – 14 mm	1 mm
Staphylococcus haemolyticus (11.1%)	15 mm	2 mm
Enterobacter cloaceae complex (11.1%)	8 mm	1 mm
Escherichia coli (11.1%)	9 mm	1 mm
Klebsiella pneumonia (11.1%)	8 mm	1 mm



Fig. 1: Good zone of inhibition around coated sutures & no zone of inhibition around uncoated sutures

of inhibition was found against triclosan coated sutures than uncoated sutures. The zone of inhibition against triclosan coated sutures were – for MRSA 13 to 14 mm, for Acenetobacter baumanii 11 mm, for MRCONS 14 to 16 mm, for Staphylococcus hemolyticus it was 15 mm, for Enterobacter cloaceae complex it was 8 mm, and for Klebsiella pnemoniae it was 8 mm. While there were no zone of inhibition against uncoated sutures by all organisms

6. Discussion

Triclosan coated sutures inhibit in vitro growth of MRCONS, Acenetobacter baumanii, MRSA, Staphylococcus hemolyticus, Enterobacter cloaceae complex, E.coli, & Klebsiella pnemoniae. The clinical efficacy of triclosan had been studied against uncoated sutures. Zone of inhibitions around coated and uncoated sutures were comparable with the study of Sarkar et al, S. Soumya et al and Prachi et al did not found any zone of inhibition around coated sutures in Enterococcus and Pseudomonas species, while as we did not have these isolates we could not have tested these organisms. Various studies depicted that, antimicrobial activity of triclosan coated sutures persisted for 96 hrs, 22 & for up to 7 days in aqueous environment.²³ While we have tested for 48 hrs as Sarkar et al and it is comparable with the findings. SSI commonly occur from commensal organisms such as coagulase negative staphylococci, diphtheroids,

Pseudomonas, & Propionibacterium species which are consistently present on patient's skin. Thus it is assumed that use of triclosan coated sutures could significantly reduce the SSI rate by inhibiting the growth of commensal organisms & thereby reducing the cost & duration of the hospital stay. Enterococcus & Pseudomonas are the exceptional organisms where these triclosan coated sutures were not show inhibition of growth.³

7. Conclusion

In vitro antibacterial efficacy of Triclosan coated polyglactin 910 sutures is sufficient to inhibit or reduce the in vitro colonization of the suture materials by MRCONS, Acinetobacter baumanii, MRSA, Staphylococcus hemolyticus, Enterobacter cloaceae complex, E.coli, & Klebsiella pnemoniae compared to uncoated suture materials.

8. Limitations

The study has to be done on large number of samples for longer periods for comparison.

9. Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Ethicon (Johnson-Johnson) company for supply of triclosan sutures (Vicryl plus) and plain non coated suture material (Polyglactin 910 violet).

We would also like to thank Dean Sir and Department of surgery for supporting the study.

10. Source of Funding

None.

11. Conflict of Interest

None.

References

- Chapter 78- Infection Control. In: Bailey and Scott's, "Diagnostic Microbiology, 14 edition;. p. 1064.
- Patwardhan N, Patwardhan S. Hospital associated infections: epidemiology, prevention & control. In: Surgical Site Infection, 2nd ed. Jaypee Brothers Medical Publishers (P) Ltd; 2017. p. 187.
- Sengupta M, Banerjee D. Mallika Sengupta & Soma Sarkar et al, "In Vitro efficacy of triclosan coated polyglactin 910 suture against common bacterial pathogen causing surgical site infection. Int J Infect Control. 2014;10(2):1–6.
- Mngram AJ, Horan TC, Pearson ML. Guideline for prevention of surgical site infections." Infection Control Hospital Epidemiology. Centres for Disease control and Prevention (CDC) Hospital Infection Control Practices Advisory Committee. Am J Infect Cont. 1999;27(2):97–32.
- Barbolt TA. Chemistry and Safety of Triclosan, and Its Use as an Antimicrobial Coating on Coated VICRYL* Plus Antibacterial Suture (Coated Polyglactin 910 Suture with Triclosan). Surg Infect. 2002;3(Supplement 1):45-53.
- Blomstedt B, Osterberg B, Bergstrand A. Suture material and bacterial transport. An experimental study. Acta Chir Scand. 1977;143(2):71–3.
- Soumya S, Maste PS, Hogade S. In-vitro Comparison of Antibacterial (Triclosan) Coated Suture Material with Non-coated Suture Material against common Bacterial pathogens Causing Surgical Site Infection. Int J Curr Microbiol Appl Sci. 2017;6(12):3049–55.
- Lamarsalle L, Hunt B, Schauf M, Szwarcensztein K, Valentine WJ. Evaluating the clinical and economic burden of healthcare-associated infections during hospitalization for surgery in France. Epidemiol Infect. 2013;141(12):2473–82.
- Graf K, Ott E, Vonberg RP, Kuehn C, Schilling T, et al. Surgical site infections—economic consequences for the health care system. Langenbeck's Arch Surg. 2011;396(4):453–9.
- Henriksen NA, Deerenberg EB, Venclauskas L, Fortelny RH, Garcia-Alamino JM, et al. Triclosan-coated sutures and surgical site infection in abdominal surgery: the TRISTAN review, meta-analysis and trial

- sequential analysis. Hernia. 2017;21(6):833-41.
- 11. Triclosan coated sutures: an overview of safety and efficacy in reducing risk of surgical site infection. Int Surg J. 2015;2(1):1–7.
- Sorensen LT. Wound healing and infection in surgery: The Pathophysiological Impact of Smoking, Smoking Cessation, and Nicotine Replacement Therapy: A Systematic Review. Ann Surg. 2012;255(6):1069–79.
- Dhurandhar NV, Bailey D, Thomas D. Interaction of obesity and infections. Obes Rev. 2015;16(12):1017–29.
- Chuah LL, Papamargaritis D, Pillai A, Roux CWL. Morbidity and mortality of diabetes with surgery. Nutricion Hospitalaria. 2013;(28):47–52.
- Leaper DJ. Risk Factors for and Epidemiology of Surgical Site Infections. Surg Infect. 2010;11(3):283–7.
- Saban P. Antimicrobial activity of "Triclosan" coated sutures in vitro. Int J Biomed Res. 2017;(09):506–8.
- Dhom J, Bloes DA, Peschel A, Hofmann UK. Bacterial adhesion to suture material in a contaminated wound model: Comparison of monofilament, braided, and barbed sutures. J Orthop Res. 2017;35(4):925–33.
- Howe CW, Marston AT. A Study on Sources of Postoperative Staphylococcal Infection. Surg Gynecol Obstet. 1962;115:266–75.
- Everett WG. Suture Materials in General Surgery. Prog Surg. 1970;8:14–37.
- 20. Campbell L, Zirwas MJ. Triclosan. Dermatitis. 2006;17(4):204-7.
- Leaper D, Wilson P, Assadian O, Edmiston C, Kiernan M, et al. The role of antimicrobial sutures in preventing surgical site infection. Ann R Coll Surg Engl. 2017;99(6):439–43.
- Edmiston CE, Seabrook GR, Goheen MP, Krepel CJ, Johnson CP, et al. Bacterial Adherence to Surgical Sutures: Can Antibacterial-Coated Sutures Reduce the Risk of Microbial Contamination? J Am Coll Surg Engl. 2006;203(4):481–9.
- Ming X, Rothenburger S, Yang D. In Vitro Antibacterial Efficacy of MONOCRYL Plus Antibacterial Suture (Poliglecaprone 25 with Triclosan). Surg Infect. 2007;8(2):201–8.

Author biography

Mulay M V Professor & HOD

Pohekar J A Assistant Lecturer

Cite this article: Mulay M V, Pohekar J A. In vitro comparison of efficacy of triclosan coated & uncoated sutures against the bacteria isolated from SSI at tertiary care hospital, Aurangabad. *Indian J Microbiol Res* 2020;7(1):91-94.