Prevalence and antibiogram of pseudomonas aeruginosa and acinetobacter baumannii in the clinical samples from tertiary care hospital

Sundaram Mohan^{1,*}, Khaja Mohiddin Shaik², Anandi Vishwanathan³

¹Associate Professor, ²Tutor, ³Professor, Dept. of Microbiology, Vinyaka Missions Medical College, Karaikal

*Corresponding Author:

Email: drmohanmmc@gmail.com

Abstract

Introduction: The present study was undertaken to determine the prevalence of non-fermenting gram negative bacilli and antibiogram of Pseudomonas aeruginosa and Acinetobacter baumannii.

Materials and Methods: Conventional bacteriological methods were used for identification non fermenting gram negative bacilli and susceptibility testing was performed with the help of the Kirby-Bauer disc diffusion method.

Results: A total 195 non fermenting gram negative bacilli were isolated during the study period. Pseudomonas aeruginosa and Acinetobacter baumannii isolates represent 89.23% of all isolated bacteria. Therefore, antibiotic resistance rates were performed specifically against these two bacteria. P. aerurginosa showed good sensitivity to imipenem(94.29%) followed by amikacin(86.67%) and piperacillin/tazobactum(68.57%). A. baumannii showed good sensitivity to imipenem(82.61%) followed by amikacin(76.81%).

Conclusion: P. aeruginosa and A. baumannii were the most common NFGNB isolated in our study. Both showed good susceptibility towards imipenem and amikacin. Alarming resistance rate was found towards commonly used antibiotics. Control measures which include the judicious use of antibiotics, antibiotic cycling, the implementation of appropriate infection control measures and the formulation of an antibiotic policy must be done, to prevent the spread of these strains.

Keywords: Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Acinetobacter baumannii, Antibiogram

Access this article online				
Quick Response Code:	Website:			
	www.innovativepublication.com			
	DOI: 10.5958/2394-5478.2016.00060.1			

Introduction

Taxonomically, they are diverse group of aerobic, nonsporing bacteria that either do not utilize carbohydrates as a source of energy or degrade them through metabolic pathway than fermenting or utilizing it oxidatively. They have been isolated from soil, water and medical devices as well. NFGNB can exist as normal commensal.2 Previous studies reported upto 15% NFGNB isolation rate from clinical specimens.³ Non-fermenting gram-negative bacilli (NFGNB) such as Pseudomonas spp. And Acinetobacter spp. are most frequently encountered pathogens in the health-care environment.^{4,5} Involvement of other species in causing human infections are very rare.⁶ P.fluorescens, A.lwoffii, Stenotrophomonas maltophilia, Burkholderia cepacia, Cryseomonas species, Sphingomonas species, and P.stutzeri. Infections caused by P.aeruginosa ranges fromtrival to fatal.7 Acinetobacter boumanii is responsible for an increasing number of cases of blood stream infection, urinary tract infection, and healthcare and ventilator-associated pneumonia.8 Additionally, it is reported as a cause of outbreaks worldwide,

especially in personnel involved in military operations in Iraq and Afghanistan.⁹

In recent years, the problem is further compounded by the emergence of resistance to antimicrobial agents which are widely used against the non-fermenters especially pseudomonas aeruginosa and Acinetobacter baumannii, making them as an important healthcare associated pathogens. Understanding the spectrum and resistance patterns may guide effective empirical antibiotic therapy, decrease treatment failure and costs. Resistance pattern of microorganisms vary widely. There are only few studies from India that provide identification and antimicrobial susceptibility pattern of NFGNB. 11

Hence, there is a need to conduct region wise study on susceptibility patterns of various pathogens with which clinician can choose the correct empirical treatment. Therefore, the present study was undertaken to determine the prevalence of NFGNB and antibiogram of dominant pathogens.

Materials and Methods

This was a prospective, observational study conducted in a tertiary care teaching hospital over a period of ten months from March 2015 to December 2015. A total of 2259 clinical specimens were processed in the department of clinical microbiology. 195 clinical specimens yielded the growth of nonfermenting gram negative bacilli. All the clinical specimens were plated on blood agar and Mac Conkey's agar and incubated at 37°C for 48 hours before being reported as sterile. The isolates that

showed non lactose fermenting colonies on Mac Conkey agar and failed to acidify the butts of triple sugar iron (TSI) agar were provisionally considered as NFGNB and they were further identified by using a standard protocol for identification.¹

The characters assessed were gram staining morphology, motility, catalase test, oxidase test, citrate utilization, urea hydrolysis, hemolysis on 5% sheep blood agar, nitrate reduction, pigment production, indole production, lysine and ornithine decarboxylation, arginine dihydrolase test, oxidation of 1% glucose, lactose, sucrose, maltose, mannitol, xylose (Hugh and Leifson's medium), growth on 10% lactose agar and gelatin liquefaction test.¹

Exclusion/inclusion criteria:

The clinical significance of the NFGNB was assessed by laboratory and clinical criteria. The laboratory criteria included, repeat isolation of same bacteria from the sample concerned, leukocytosis and relevant radiological evidence (respiratory samples). The clinical criteria included the presence of risk factors such as underlying diseases (chronic renal failure, malignancy, hepatitis, bronchiectasis, cystic fibrosis, pneumonia and other immunosuppressive conditions) and presence of intravenous or urinary catheters.

Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of the isolated organisms was performed by the disk diffusion method as recommended by clinical laboratory and standards institute (CLSI).¹²

Antimicrobial susceptibility of the isolates was determined against the following antibacterial agents, Co-trimoxazole (25 ug), ciprofloxacin (5 ug), amikacin (30 ug), ceftazidime (30 ug), ceftriaxone (30 ug), cefotaxime (30 ug), piperacillin/tazobactum (100 ug/10 ug), imipenem (10 ug), aztreonam(30ug)

Quality control strains

Escherichia coli ATCC 25922

Pseudomonas aeruginosa ATCC 27853

All the analysis was performed using simple percentage method.

Results

During the study period, 195 non fermenters were isolated out of 2259 clinical samples accounting for an isolation rate of 8.63%. Monomicrobial growth was seen in 119 (61.03%) specimens whereas 76 (38.97%) specimens showed polymicrobial growth where non fermenters were isolated with other organisms. Most commonly associated bacteria were E.coli and Klebsiella species. P. aeruginosa was the predominant isolate, 105 (53.85%) followed by Acinetobacter baumannii69 (35.38%). Other NFGNB isolated were Pseudomonas fluorescens13(6.67%), Acinetobacter lowffii 6(3.08%) Burkholderia cepacia 1 (0.51%) and Stenotrophomonas maltophilia1(0.51%). The spectrum and clinical sources of these isolates are shown in Table 1.

Table 1: Profile prevalence of NFGNB

Bacteria isolated	Pus	Respiratory	Urine	Blood	Body	Ocular	Stool	Total
		specimens			fluids	specimens		
P.aeruginosa	54	27	7	7	4	6	-	105
A.baumannii	11	39	11	5	2	1	-	69
P.fluorescens	9	2	2	1	-	=	-	13
A.lwoffii	1	1	-	4	-	=	-	6
Burkholderia cepacia	-	1	-	1	-	=	-	1
Stenotrophomonas	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	1
maltophilia,								

Both Pseudomonas aeruginosa and Acinetobacter baumannii isolates represent 89.23% of all isolated bacteria. Therefore, antibiotic resistance rates were performed specifically against these two bacteria. Table 2

Table 2: Antibiotic sensitivity of P. aeruginosa and A.baumannii

Antibiotic	P.aeruginosa(n=105)	A.baumannii(n=69)		
Imipenem	99(94.29%)	57(82.61%)		
Amikacin	91(86.67%)	53(76.81%)		
Ciprofloxacin	29((27.62%)	11(15.94%)		
Cetazidime	48(45.71%)	9(13.04%)		
Cefepime	57(54.29%)	11(15.94%)		
Ceftriazone	52(49.52%)	13(18.84%)		
Piperacillin	50(47.62%)	5(7.25%)		
Piperacillin/Tazobactum	72(68.57%)	25(36.23%)		
Co-Trimaxazole	27(25.71%)	7(16.14%)		
Aztreonam	17(16.19%)	5(7.25%)		

Discussion

Non fermenting Gram negative bacilli considered to be contaminants in the past have now emerged as important major pathogenic organisms. Previous studies reported varied isolation rates of NFGNB. In our study, isolation rate of NFGNB was 8.63% which is in agreement with the study conducted by Juyal, where the isolation rate was 9.32%. 13 Our study is not in the studies agreement with conducted Sidhu(45.9%)¹⁴ and Vijaya (21.80%)¹⁵ where very high isolation rate was reported. However, studies conducted by Malini and Bruno showed very low isolation rate of 4.5% and 2.18% respectively. 16,17 In the present study, highest number of the NFGNB isolates were from pus sample, similar to the observations made by others.18.Pseudomonas aeruginosa was found to be commonest non fermenter in previous studies16 followed by Acinetobacter baumannii and this is in concordance to our finding.

In our study, Pseudomonas aeruginosa and Acinetobacter baumanii were isolated from ocular specimens. Three isolates of Pseudomonas aeruginosa were from corneal scrapings. In India, second most important cause of bacterial keratitis after gram positive cocci is Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Pili or fimbriae plays an important role in adhesion to the corneal surface. 19 Remaining three isolates were from the eyes with acute dacryocystitis. Acinetobacter baumannii was isolated from endophthalmitis. As bacterial biofilm formation has been implicated in the pathogenesis of bacterial endophthalmitis. 20

Majority of A. baumanii(56.52%) were isolated from respiratory specimens such as endo tracheal tube, trans tracheal tube and sputum. This is comparable with the study conducted by Shanti and Shekar²¹ Who reported 41.8% isolation rate of A.baumanii from respiratory specimens as dominant pathogen. A. baumannii have emerged as important pathogen in intensive care units (ICUs), and this is probably related, at least in part, to the increasingly invasive diagnostic and therapeutic procedures used in hospital ICUs in recent years.

P. aeruginosa and A. baumannii are resistant to various antimicrobials which are commonly being used to treat infections. Outer membrane impermeability, increased activity of multidrug efflux pumps, target site alterations, or enzymatic degradation could be the reason for antimicrobial resistance (e.g., aminoglycoside-modifying enzymes and β-lactamases). Resistance to noncarbapenem β -lactams in P. aeruginosa.²² and A. baumannii²³ is due to excessive production of cephalosporinases.²⁴

P. aeruginosa presents a serious therapeutic challenge for treatment of both community-acquired and nosocomial infections, and selection of the appropriate antibiotic to initiate therapy is essential to optimizing the clinical outcome. Even more

problematic is the development of resistance during the course of therapy, a complication which has been shown to double the length of hospitalization and overall cost of patient care. ^{25,26}

P. aeruginosa isolates in our study were highly susceptible to imipenem (94%), followed by amikacin (86.67%) and piperacillin/tazobactum.(68.57%). This contrasts with the study which was conducted by Gladstone,²⁷ where 42.8% of P.aeruginosa isolates were resistant to imipenem. P. aeruginosa showed a lower range of sensitivity against fluoroquinolone and this may be attributed to their widespread use particularly ciprofloxacin.

According to the study conducted by Karlowsky, 28 P.areginosa showed high degree of susceptibility to amikacin and piperacillin-tazobactam followed by cefepime, ceftazidime, imipenem, and meropenem.²⁸ The resistance patterns of A. baumannii towards various antimicrobial agents were determined. In the present study, A. baumannii exhibited the highest susceptibility to imipenem(82.61%) followed by amikacin(76.81%). Less susceptibility was exhibited to piperacillin/tazobactum(36.23%)compared P.aeruginosa(68.57%). However, in other studies susceptibility rate of A. baumannii to imipenem, piperacillin-tazobactam and amikacin was 50.7%, 42.1% and 38.2%, respectively. The lower resistance rate of A. baumannii to imipenem in our study may be due to its recent introduction. The important risk factors for the acquisition of imipenem-resistant A. baumannii include previous carbapenem use, longer duration of hospital stay until infection, ICU stay, urgent surgery, total parenteral nutrition, having a central venous catheter, endotracheal tube and urinary catheter or nasogastric tube.29

In conclusion, P. aeruginosa and A. baumannii were the most common NFGNB isolated in our study. High frequency of drug resistance to commonly used antibiotics was observed. Imipenem and amikacin were found to be the most effective antibiotics against. Knowledge on the antibiotic susceptibility pattern of NFGNB from clinical specimens is crucial for planning the appropriate treatment.

References

- Koneman C, Allen S.D, Janda W.M et al. Nonfermentative gram negative bacilli. In colour atlas and textbooks; Diagnostic microbiology, Lippincott Publication; 1997;5th ed. 253-320.
- Gardner, B Griffin, Swartz, Kunz. Nonfermentative gram negative bacilli of nosocomial interest. American J Med 1970;48:735-49.
- Nagoba BS, Deshmukh SR, Ulka G Gude et al. In vitro susceptibility of Pseudomonas aeruginosa to different antibiotics. Indian J Med Microbiol 1997;15:185-186.
- Joseph NM, Sistla S, Dutta TK, Badhe AS, Rasitha D, Parija SC. Role of intensive care unit environment and health-care workers in transmission of ventilatorassociated pneumonia. J Infect Dev Ctries 2010;4:282-91.

- Perez F, Hujer AM, Hujer KM, Decker BK, Rather PN, Bonomo RA. Global challenge of multidrug-resistant Acinetobacter baumannii. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2007;51:3471-84.
- Fass RJ, Barnishan J, Solomon MC, Ayers LW. In vitro activities of quinolones, beta-lactams, tobramycin, and trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole against nonfermentative gram-negative bacilli. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 1996;40:1412-8.
- Pollack, M Pseudomonas aeruginosa. In G. L. Mandell. J. E. Bennett, and R. Dolin. Principles and practice of infectious diseases, Churchill Livingstone, Philadelphia, Pa. 2000;(5)2310–2335.
- 8. Dijkshoorn L. Nemec A. Seifert H. An increasing threat in hospitals: multidrug-resistant Acinetobacter baumannii. Nat Rev Microbiol. 2007;5:939–951.
- Villegas MV. Hartstein AI. Acinetobacter outbreaks, 1977–2000. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol. 2003;24:284–295.
- Rit, K., Nag, F., Raj, H.J. and Maity, P.K. Prevalence and Susceptibility Profiles of Non-Fermentative GramNegative Bacilli Infection in a Tertiary Care Hospital of Eastern India. *Indian Journal of Clinical Practice* 2013;24:451-455.
- Samanta P, Gautam V, Thapar R, Ray P. Emerging resistance of non-fermenting gram negative bacilli in a tertiary care centre. Indian J Pathol Microbiol 2011;54:666-7.
- National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards. Performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing. Fourteenth informational supplement. Document M100-S14. Wayne, PA: NCCLS, 2004.
- Juyal D, Prakash R, Shanakarnarayan SA, Sharma M, Negi V, Sharma N. Prevalence of non-fermenting gram negative bacilli and their *in vitro* susceptibility pattern in a tertiary care hospital of Uttarakhand: A study from foothills of Himalayas. Saudi J Health Sci 2013;2:108-12.
- Sidhu S, Arora U, Devi P. Prevalence of nonfermentative gram negative bacilli in seriously ill patients with bacteraemia. JK Science 2010;12:168-71.
- Vijaya D, Kamala, Bavani S, Veena M. Prevalence of non-fermenters in clinical specimens. Indian J Med Sci 2000;54:87-91.
- Malini A, Deepa EK, Gokul BN, Prasad SR. Nonfermenting gram-negative bacilli infections in a tertiary care hospital in Kolar, Karnataka. J Lab Physicians 2009;1:62-6.
- 17. Bruno D, Nishino MK, Priore WN, Remus PR, do Carmo AA, Stefanello VB, et al. Prevalence of Gram-negative non-fermenters patients in Porto Alegre-RS. Jornal Brasileiro de Patologia e Medicina Laboratorial2011;47:529-34.
- Mishra B, Bhujwala RA, Shriniwas. Non-fermenters in human infections. Indian J Med Res 1986;83:561-6.
- Bharathi, M.J., Ramakrishnan, R., Vasu, S., Meenakshi, R., Palaniappan R. *Indian J Med Microbiol* 2002;20: 19-24
- Talreja D, Kaye KS, Yu F-S, Walia SK, Kumar A. Pathogenicity of ocular isolates of Acinetobacter baumannii in a mouse model of bacterial endophthalmitis. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci.2014;55:2392–2402. DOI:10. 1167/iovs.13-13401.
- Shanthi M, Sekar U. Multi-drug resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *Acinetobacter baumannii* infections among hospitalized patients: risk factors and outcomes. J Assoc Physicians India. 2009;8(11):687-693.
- Kiska, D. L., and P. H. Gilligan. 1999. *Pseudomonas*, p. 517–525. *In P. R. Murray*, E. J. Baron, M. A. Pfaller, F.

- C. Tenover, and R. H. Yolken (ed.), Manual of clinical microbiology, 7th ed.ASM Press, Washington, D.C.
- Schreckenberger, P. C., and A. von Graevenitz. 1999.
 Acinetobacter, Achromobacter, Alcaligenes, Moraxella, Methylobacterium, and other nonfermentative gramnegative rods, p. 539–560. In P. R. Murray, E. J. Baron, M. A. Pfaller, F. C. Tenover, and R. H. Yolken (ed.), Manual of clinical microbiology, 7th ed. ASM Press, Washington, D.C.
- Harris, A., C. Torres-Viera, L. Venkataraman, P. DeGirolami, M. Samore, and Y. Carmeli. Epidemiology and clinical outcomes of patients with multiresistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Clin. Infect. Dis.1999;28:1128–1133.
- Bisbe, J., J. M. Gatell, and J. Puig. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* bacteremia: univariate and multivariate analyses of factors influencing the prognosis in 133 episodes. Rev. Infect. Dis.1988;10:629-635
- Dimatatac, E. L., M. M. Alejandria, C. Montalban, C. Pineda, C. Ang, and R. Delino. Clinical outcomes and costs of care of antibiotic resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* infections. Philipp. J. Microbiol. Infect Dis.2003;32:159-167.
- Gladstone P, Rajendran P, Brahmadathan KN. Incidence of carbapenem resistant non-fermenting gram-negative bacilli from patients with respiratory infections in the intensive care unit. *Indian J Microbial* 2005;23:189-91.
- Karlowsky JA, Draghi DC, Jones ME, Thornsberry C, Friedland IR, Sahm DF. Surveillance for Antimicrobial Susceptibility among Clinical Isolates of *Pseudomonas* aeruginosa and Acinetobacter baumannii from Hospitalized Patients in the United States, 1998 to 2001. Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy. 2003;47(5).
- Baran G, Erbay A, Bodur H, Ongürü P, Akinci E, Balaban N, Cevik MA. Risk factors for nosocomial imipenem-resistant *Acinetobacter baumannii* infections. Int J Infect Dis 2008;12:16-21.

How to cite this article: Mohan S, Shaik MK, Vishwanathan A. Prevalence and antibiogram of pseudomonas aeruginosa and acinetobacter baumannii in the clinical samples from tertiary care hospital. Indian J Microbiol Res 2016;3(3):275-278.